



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/924,669	08/08/2001	Kelly L. Dempki	10022/142	3664
28164 7590 05/11/2007 ACCENTURE CHICAGO 28164 BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P O BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610			EXAMINER CHOWDHURY, SUMAIYA A	
			ART UNIT 2623	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 05/11/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/924,669	DEMPSKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sumaiya A. Chowdhury	2623	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/25/07.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8,10-15,17-20,37-40,42 and 43 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8,10-15,17-20,37-40,42-43 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Applicant Initiated Interview Form, filed 4/25/07, with respect to claims 1, 11, and 37 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The Office Action of 1/04/07 has been withdrawn. In particular, the Zigmond reference has been withdrawn since it fails to teach the claimed limitations relating to the time delay of the content-based storage and resumption of the TV broadcast.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.
2. Claims 1, 2, 4, 11, 12, 14, and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akiyama (CA 2387386) in view of Reynolds (7020888) and Blacketter (7159232)

Considering claims 1, Akiyama discloses a method for enhancing a television broadcast program comprising:

(a) receiving a signal, the signal comprising a television broadcast program (TV program) and a television broadcast advertisement for display during a break in the television broadcast program; – p. 20, lines 5-15, col. 19, lines 8-12;

(b) receiving replacement advertising data (replacement information 60, 61 – Fig. 6) from a first memory storage (11 – Fig. 6, p. 21, lines 20-24), the advertising data representing an instruction set for rendering into a video replacement advertising segment (60, 61 – Fig. 6) by a client processor (52, 54 – Fig. 6); (p. 21, lines 14-20);

(c) rendering on the client processor (52, 54 – Fig. 6) the replacement advertising segment – p. 19, lines 17-27, p. 21, lines 16-20;

(d) blocking the display of the television broadcast advertisement (commercial), such that the television broadcast advertisement is not displayed – p. 19, lines 9-11, p. 20, lines 12-13;

(e) displaying the replacement advertising segment instead of the television broadcast advertisement on a television display – p. 19, lines 9-11, p. 20, lines 12-13, p. 28, lines 1-3; and

(f) determining whether the television broadcast program has resumed after end of the television broadcast advertisement, determining whether the replacement advertising segment has ended (When finishing the readout of the commercial, the selective display process sets the switcher back to the original state, and sends the content from the tuner to the decoder. Hence, when it is determined the commercial is over, the receiver switches to the TV program. – p. 27, lines 1-10, lines 15-25; fig. 12 & 15),

displaying the resumed television broadcast program after completion of the replacement advertising segment – (S37 & S38 – Fig. 13, p. 27, lines 6-10).

However, Akiyama fails to teach:

animated video content and data comprising an executable instruction set for rendering an animated video;

and if the replacement advertising segment has not ended, storing the resumed television broadcast program on a storage device from a beginning point and displaying the resumed broadcast program from the beginning point;

In an analogous art, Reynolds teaches animated video content (col. 12, lines 8-11, also see col. 5, Example Two, - “**Executable code** that instructs consumer device to show an **animated view** of the current hole in play on 102.2A”). Reynolds additionally teaches data comprising an executable instruction set for rendering animated video - (col. 11, line 57 – col. 12, line 2, col. 4, lines 23-26).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant’s invention to modify Akiyama’s invention to include animated video content and data comprising an executable instruction set for rendering an animated video, as taught by Reynolds, for the advantage of providing content on the television which allows the user to interact with.

However, Akiyama and Reynolds fails to teach:

if the replacement advertising segment has not ended, storing the resumed television broadcast program on a storage device from a beginning point and displaying the resumed broadcast program from the beginning point;

In analogous art, Blackketter teaches an interactive session during an advertisement (col. 8-9, ll. 67-6), determining whether the interactive session is still in progress when the television program resumes (col. 9, ll. 15-18), and if the session is still active, then recording the program so that when the session is completed the receiver will continue playback (col. 9, ll. 25-30). As such, Blackketter teaches determining whether the television broadcast program has resumed after the end of the television broadcast advertisement, determining whether the replacement advertising segment has ended, and if the segment has not ended, then storing the resumed television broadcast program on a storage device from a beginning point, and displaying the resumed broadcast program from the beginning point.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Akiyama and Reynolds' invention to include the above mentioned limitation, as taught by Blackketter, for the well known advantage that the user does not miss out on any programming.

Considering claim 2, Akiyama, Reynolds, and Blackketter disclose a method comprising selecting the replacement advertising segment based on a viewer profile – (In particular, Akiyama discloses that the replacement advertising segment is selected by referring to the user profile. - S33 – Fig. 13, paragraph p. 21, lines 11-13 & p. 26, lines 18-22).

Considering claim 4, Akiyama, Reynolds, and Blacketter disclose the method further comprising creating the viewer profile (individual profile 62 – Fig. 6) based on a set of preferences selected by the viewer (In particular, Akiyama discloses that the hard disk memory (11) includes an individual profile (62) inputted beforehand by the viewer - p. 21, lines 3-4).

Considering claim 11, Akiyama discloses a method for enhancing a television broadcast program comprising:

- (a) receiving programming data representing synchronization data for a plurality of sequential program segments in a television broadcast programs (Fig. 8, p. 22, lines 7-19);

- (b) receiving information related to a plurality of replacement program segments (replacement information 60, 61 – Fig. 6, p. 21, lines 14-20);

- (c) selecting a desired replacement segment (p. 19, lines 9-11, p. 20. lines 12-13, p. 28, lines 1-3);

- (d) synchronizing the replacement segment with one of said plurality of television broadcast segments (Fig. 8, p. 22, lines 7-19);

- (e) receiving the selected replacement segments (60, 61 – Fig. 6, p. 21, lines 14-20);

- (f) determining whether a next sequential program segment in the television broadcast program has commenced after end of the blocked television broadcast segment, determining whether the selected replacement segment has ended (When

finishing the readout of the commercial, the selective display process sets the switcher back to the original state, and sends the content from the tuner to the decoder. Hence, when it is determined the commercial is over, the receiver switches to the TV program. – p. 27, lines 1-10, lines 15-25; fig. 12 and 15), blocking the display of the television broadcast segment (p. 19, lines 9-11, p. 20, lines 12-13); and

(g) displaying the selected replacement segment on a television display in place of the synchronized television broadcast segment (p. 19, lines 9-11, p. 20, lines 12-13, p. 28, lines 1-3).

However, Akiyama fails to teach:

executable instructions sets for generating data, and rendering on a local processor the data by executing the executable instruction sets.

if the selected replacement segment has not ended, storing the next sequential program segment on a storage device from a beginning point, and displaying the next sequential segment from the beginning point after the selected replacement segment has ended;

In an analogous art, Reynolds additionally teaches data comprising an executable instruction set for rendering animated video is processed by a local processor (210, 212, 214 – Fig. 2) [col. 11, line 57 – col. 12, line 2, col. 4, lines 23-26, col. 12, lines 8-11, also see col. 5, Example Two, - “**Executable code** that instructs consumer device to show an **animated view** of the current hole in play on 102.2A”]

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Akiyama's invention to include animated video content

and data comprising an executable instruction set for rendering an animated video, as taught by Reynolds, for the advantage of providing content on the television which allows the user to interact with.

However, Akiyama and Reynolds teaches:

if the selected replacement segment has not ended, storing the next sequential program segment on a storage device from a beginning point, and displaying the next sequential segment from the beginning point after the selected replacement segment has ended;

In analogous art, Blackketter teaches an interactive session during an advertisement (col. 8-9, ll. 67-6), determining whether the interactive session is still in progress when the television program resumes (col. 9, ll. 15-18), and if the session is still active, then recording the program so that when the session is completed the receiver will continue playback (col. 9, ll. 25-30). As such, Blackketter teaches determining whether the television broadcast program has resumed after the end of the television broadcast advertisement, determining whether the replacement advertising segment has ended, and if the segment has not ended, then storing the resumed television broadcast program on a storage device from a beginning point, and displaying the resumed broadcast program from the beginning point.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Akiyama and Reynolds' invention to include the above mentioned limitation, as taught by Blackketter, for the well known advantage that the user does not miss out on any programming.

Considering claim 12, Akiyama, Reynolds, and Blackketter teach the claimed limitations. In particular, Akiyama discloses a method comprising selecting the replacement advertising segment based on a viewer profile (S33 – Fig. 13, paragraph p. 21, lines 11-13 & p. 26, lines 18-22).

Considering claim 14, Akiyama, Reynolds, and Blackketter teach the claimed limitations. In particular, Akiyama discloses the method further comprising developing the viewer profile (individual profile 62 – Fig. 6) based on a set of preferences selected by the viewer (Akiyama discloses that the hard disk memory (11) includes an individual profile (62) inputted beforehand by the viewer - p. 21, lines 3-4).

Considering claim 19, Akiyama, Reynolds, and Blackketter disclose the claimed limitations. In particular, Akiyama discloses a method wherein the programming data (synchronizing data) is received from data encoded with television broadcast program (The TV programs are received though digital waves which are sent to the decoder (56) in the TV receiver – p.20, lines 5-10. The synchronizing data is also received through digital waves by the TV receiver – p.22, lines 7-9. Therefore, the programming data is encoded with the TV broadcast program).

Considering claim 20, Akiyama, Reynolds, and Shoff disclose the claimed limitations. In particular, Akiyama discloses a method wherein the data representing the selected replacement segments comprise geometry and texture data for use with the executable instructions sets for rendering an animated video segment by a client processor (p. 15, lines 7-12, p. 18, lines 23-26, p. 19, lines 17-18, p. 20, lines 5-8, p. 22, lines 7-8, p. 28, lines 7-9).

3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akiyama in view of Reynolds and Blackketter as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Herz (6,088,722).

Considering claim 3, Akiyama discloses that a viewer profile is established for selecting replacement advertisements – p. 21, lines 11-13 & p. 26, lines 18-22. However, Akiyama, Reynolds, and Blackketter fail to disclose a method comprising developing a viewer profile based on past interaction with the replacement advertising segment.

In an analogous art, Herz discloses a method in which a passive feedback technique is provided whereby the programming viewed by the customers are automatically monitored and used to adjust the customer profiles – col. 14, lines 17-21.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Akiyama, Reynolds, and Blackketter's system to include developing a viewer profile based on past interaction, as taught by Herz, for the

advantage of automatically updating viewer profiles based on content which is viewed rather than a user manually selecting desired content.

4. Claims 5-8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akiyama in view of Reynolds and Blackketter as applied to claim 2 and 5 above, and further in view of Haas (US 2002/0063714).

Considering claim 5, Akiyama, Reynolds, and Blackketter fail to disclose displaying an on-screen query of optional modifications to the replacement advertising segment, and rendering the modifications to the replacement advertising segment in response to the modifications selected by the viewer.

In an analogous art, Haas discloses that a menu is displayed for a user to modify the animated object displayed such that a user could interact with the object displayed on-screen of a television – paragraph [0112].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Akiyama, Reynolds, and Blackketter's system to include displaying an on-screen query of optional modifications to the replacement advertising segment, and rendering the modifications to the replacement advertising segment in response to the modifications selected by the viewer, as taught by Haas, for the advantage of allowing the user to interact with the object displayed on-screen of a television.

Considering claim 6, Akiyama, Reynolds, and Blackketter fail to disclose a method comprising storing the selected modifications and for subsequent receipt of the same replacement advertising segment, rendering the segment with the previously selected modifications.

In an analogous art, Haas discloses a method in which the displayed object could be modified and then saved for later retrieval – paragraph [0105].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Akiyama, Reynolds, and Blackketter's system to include a method comprising storing the selected modifications and for subsequent receipt of the same replacement advertising segment, rendering the segment with the previously selected modifications, as taught by Haas, for the advantage of providing the user to retrieve the saved modifications later on.

Considering claim 7, Akiyama, Reynolds, and Blackketter fail to disclose a method wherein said modifications comprise color, component in displayed objects, viewing perspective, zoom, play-back speed, background audio sound track, and special effects.

In an analogous art, Haas discloses a method wherein said modifications comprise color (control buttons 43a-43e – Fig. 3, paragraph [0112]), component in displayed objects (Fig. 28a-28d, paragraph [0133]), viewing perspective, zoom (zoom in control button 41 & zoom out control button 42 – Fig. 3, paragraph [0112]), play-back speed (slider bar 58 – Fig. 3, paragraph [0112]), background audio sound track

(paragraph [0103] & [0110]), and special effects (creating a 360 degree panoramic image 212 of the interior – Fig. 28a-28d, paragraph [0133]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Akiyama, Reynolds, and Blackketter's method to include modifications comprising color, component in displayed objects, viewing perspective, zoom, play-back speed, background audio sound track, and special effects, as taught by Haas, for the advantage of allowing the user to modify a displayed object to create an object desirable to the user and to allow interaction with the object on-screen.

Claim 8 contains the same limitations as claim 7 and is analyzed as previously discussed with that claim.

Considering claim 10, Akiyama, Reynolds, and Blackketter disclose selection based on viewer profile (In particular, Akiyama discloses that a replacement advertisement segment is selected from among the plurality of replacement advertisement segments by referring to the individual profile. - p. 21, lines 11-13 & p. 26, lines 18-22). However, Akiyama, Reynolds, and Blackketter fail to disclose a method comprising of providing a plurality of audio accompaniments to the advertising segment, and selecting the audio accompaniment based on the viewer profile.

In an analogous art, Haas discloses that an audio track is selected from a plurality of audio tracks by the user to be played along with a displayed object - paragraph [0103] & [0110].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Akiyama, Reynolds, and Blackketter's system to include a user to select to play an audio track from a plurality of audio tracks, as taught by Haas, for the advantage of complimenting the displayed object.

5. Claims 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akiyama (CA 2387386), Reynolds, and Blackketter in view of Herz (6,088,722).

Considering claim 13, Akiyama, Reynolds, and Blackketter disclose that a viewer profile is established for selecting replacement advertisements – (See Akiyama, p. 21, lines 11-13 & p. 26, lines 18-22. However, Akiyama and Reynolds fail to disclose a method comprising developing the viewer profile based on past selections of replacement segments).

In an analogous art, Herz discloses a method in which a passive feedback technique is provided whereby the programming viewed by the customers are automatically monitored and used to adjust the customer profiles – col. 14, lines 17-21.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Akiyama, Reynolds, and Blackketter' system to include developing a viewer profile based on past interaction, as taught by Herz, for the advantage of automatically updating viewer profiles based on content which is viewed rather than a user manually selecting desired content.

6. Claims 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akiyama (CA 2387386), Reynolds, and Blackketter in view of Beach (6,728,713).

Considering claim 15, Akiyama, Reynolds, and Blackketter fail to disclose a method comprising of augmenting the viewer preferences based on viewer's past selection of skipping through selected segments.

In an analogous art, Beach discloses that preference profiles are automatically adjusted based on the viewer's decision to skip a particular segment such that programming could be automatically selected based on the user's preferences – col. 17, lines 15-25.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Akiyama, Reynolds, and Blackketter's system to include adjusting the viewer profile based on content that a viewer skips through, as taught by Beach, for the advantage of providing the user with programming which could be automatically selected based on the user's preferences.

7. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akiyama, Reynolds, and Blackketter as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Haas (US 2002/0063714).

Considering claim 17, Reynolds teaches executable instruction sets and executable programming code. However, Akiyama, Reynolds, and Blackketter fail to disclose a method wherein the executable instruction sets for generating an advertising segment comprise executable programming code for rendering into an animated video segment by a client processor.

In an analogous art, Haas discloses a method wherein the data representing an advertising segment is in an instruction set for rendering into an animated video segment by a client processor such that the user could alter or change the images displayed – paragraph [0106].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Akiyama, Reynolds, and Blackketter's method to include data representing an advertising segment is in an instruction set for rendering into an animated video segment by a client processor, as taught by Haas, for the advantage of allowing the user to alter or change the images displayed.

8. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akiyama, Reynolds, and Blackketter's as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Plotnick (US 2005/0097599).

Considering claim 18, Akiyama, Reynolds, and Blackketter fail to disclose displaying an on-screen query of optional replacement segments, and selecting the desired replacement segments in response to the command received by the viewer.

In an analogous art, Plotnick discloses that ads are stored on a recording medium and retrieved when the user requests the ad through a direct selection on a menu - paragraph [0127].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Akiyama, Reynolds, and Blackketter' system to include displaying an on-screen query of optional replacement segments, and selecting the desired replacement segments in response to the command received by the viewer, as taught by Plotnick, for the advantage of allowing the user to choose a desired replacement segment.

9. Claims 37, 39-40, and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akiyama in view of Reynolds and Blackketter.

Considering claim 37, Akiyama discloses a system for displaying enhanced television broadcast programs comprising:

a multimedia controller (receiver – Fig. 6) having:

a television broadcast signal tuner receiver (51 - Fig. 6),

a communication port (51 – Fig. 6) in communication with external sources (satellite) of replacement advertising data (p. 12, lines 2-6),

a first memory storage (hard disk memory 11) for storing a television broadcast signal (p. 17, lines 1-7), the television broadcast signal comprising a

television broadcast program and a television broadcast advertisement for display during a break in the television broadcast program (p. 17, lines 9-25),

a second memory storage (61 – Fig. 6) for storing the replacement advertising data (p. 21, lines 14-24), and

a processor (54 – Fig. 6) capable of rendering the video replacement advertising segment and further capable of blocking the display of the television broadcast advertisement, such that the television broadcast advertisement is not displayed (p. 19, lines 9-11, p. 20, lines 12-13);

a video display monitor (monitor - Fig. 6) in communication with the multimedia controller, the video display monitor configured to display the television broadcast program and the video replacement advertising segment during a break in the television broadcast program (p. 19, lines 9-11, p. 20, lines 12-13); and

a manual input device (13 – Fig. 1) in communication with the multimedia controller – col. 13, lines 20-23;

wherein the multimedia controller is further operative to determine whether the television broadcast program has resumed after the end of the television broadcast advertisement, to determine whether the replacement advertising segment has ended (When finishing the readout of the commercial, the selective display process sets the switcher back to the original state, and sends the content from the tuner to the decoder. Hence, when it is determined the

commercial is over, the receiver switches to the TV program. – p. 27, lines 1-10, lines 15-25; fig. 12 and 15),

However, Akiyama fails to teach:

content comprising executable instruction sets and animated video content.

and if the replacement advertising segment has not ended, to store the resumed television broadcast program on the first memory storage from a beginning point, and to display the resumed broadcast program from the beginning point.

In an analogous art, Reynolds additionally teaches data comprising an executable instruction set for rendering animated video is processed by a local processor (210, 212, 214 – Fig. 2) [col. 11, line 57 – col. 12, line 2, col. 4, lines 23-26, col. 12, lines 8-11, also see col. 5, Example Two, - “**Executable code** that instructs consumer device to show an **animated view** of the current hole in play on 102.2A”]

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Akiyama's invention to include animated video content and data comprising an executable instruction set for rendering an animated video, as taught by Reynolds, for the advantage of providing content on the television which allows the user to interact with.

However, Akiyama and Reynolds fails to teach:

if the replacement advertising segment has not ended, to store the resumed television broadcast program on the first memory storage from a beginning point, and to display the resumed broadcast program from the beginning point.

In analogous art, Blackketter teaches an interactive session during an advertisement (col. 8-9, ll. 67-6), determining whether the interactive session is still in progress when the television program resumes (col. 9, ll. 15-18), and if the session is still active, then recording the program so that when the session is completed the receiver will continue playback (col. 9, ll. 25-30). As such, Blackketter teaches determining whether the television broadcast program has resumed after the end of the television broadcast advertisement, determining whether the replacement advertising segment has ended, and if the segment has not ended, then storing the resumed television broadcast program on a storage device from a beginning point, and displaying the resumed broadcast program from the beginning point.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Akiyama and Reynolds' invention to include the above mentioned limitation, as taught by Blackketter, for the well known advantage that the user does not miss out on any programming.

Considering claim 39, Akiyama, Reynolds, and Blackketter disclose the claimed limitations. In particular, Akiyama discloses the system further comprising a third memory storage (hard disk memory 11 – Fig. 6) for storing viewer profiles such that only content of interest to the user will be displayed - p.21, lines 3-4.

Considering claim 40, Akiyama, Reynolds, and Blackketter disclose the claimed limitations. In particular, Akiyama discloses the system comprising a fourth memory

storage (11) for storing television broadcast programs in digitized format for later recall and display – p. 17, lines 1-7.

Considering claim 42, Akiyama, Reynolds, and Blackketter disclose the claimed limitations. In particular, Akiyama discloses the system wherein the manual input device is a remote control – p. 17, lines 5-7 .

10. Claim 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akiyama, Reynolds, and Blackketter as applied to claim 37 above, and further in view of Pendakur (US 2003/0016673).

Considering claim 38, Akiyama, Reynolds, and Blackketter fail to disclose the system comprising a personal computer in communication with the multimedia controller.

In an analogous art, Pendakur discloses a personal computer coupled with a receiver (multimedia controller) to assist in receiving content and providing feedback – paragraph [0030].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Akiyama, Reynolds, and Blackketter's system to include a personal computer in communication with the multimedia controller, as taught by

Pendakur, for the advantage of allowing the system to receive content and provide feedback.

11. Claim 43 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akiyama, Reynolds, and Blackketter as applied to claim 42 above, and further in view of Huang (6,437,836).

Considering claim 43, Akiyama, Reynolds, and Blackketter fail to disclose the system wherein the remote control comprises a personal digital assistant having an infrared transceiver for communication with the multimedia controller, said personal digital assistant having a configurable display on a touch sensitive screen, said configurable display being configured to correspond to the active selections available to a user for a given images on the video display monitor.

In an analogous art, Huang discloses a system wherein the remote control (Fig. 1A) comprises a personal digital assistant (Palm Pilot – Fig. 1, Palm Pilot 204 – Fig. 2) having an infrared transceiver (117 – IR Transmitter, col. 6, lines 10-15) for communication with the multimedia controller (microcontroller 202 – Fig. 2), said personal digital assistant having a configurable display on a touch sensitive screen (touch screen 218 – Fig. 2) said configurable display being configured to correspond to

the active selections available to a user for a given images on the video display monitor (col. 5, lines 1-10, col. 6, lines 44-47, col. 7, lines 52- 57).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Akiyama, Reynolds, and Blackketter's system to include a remote control comprising a personal digital assistant having an infrared transceiver for communication with the multimedia controller, said personal digital assistant having a configurable display on a touch sensitive screen, said configurable display being configured to correspond to the active selections available to a user for a given images on the video display monitor, as taught by Huang, for the advantage of avoiding the constraints associated with determining which particular buttons should be included in the design of a remote control, and which buttons should be left out.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sumaiya A. Chowdhury whose telephone number is (571) 272-8567. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 9-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Grant can be reached on (571) 272-7292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SAC


ANDREW Y. KOENIG
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER